

Approved

Release 2004/10/27 : CIA-RDP79M00467A000400010012-4

MEMORANDUM FOR: [REDACTED]

Executive Registry

76-10270/1

Because we have no record of a Tony Lapham response to the attached, we [REDACTED] who said that Bob Keuch, Dep Asst Attorney General for the Criminal Division, along with the DDCI, will be attending a 6 December luncheon at which this item will be discussed. Does this take care of it?

Date

24 Nov 1976

FORM 5-75 101 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

(EXECUTIVE REGISTRY)

ODC

EX-102

76-10270

Date:

10/17/76

E. H. Knoche
conversation with:

Note for General Counsel
Tony

In a discussion I
had with Bill Miller of
the SSCI last week, Bill
said he believes the
Committee will prove to be
extremely interested in
seconding AG's motion that
intelligence agencies learn
to be comfortable with
the court and Title Three
warrants in pursuing
security and CI work.


When I repeated the
(over)

ODC

traditional reasons for our concern, including the fact that security procedures and arrangements are not features of the courts, Bell said this could be fixed by statute.

Aside from the real question as to whether any such statute could get passed by the full Congress, maybe we should give thought to what such a statute would include.

What do you think?



FROM:
DDCI

TO:

OGC

22 Oct

ER NO.

76-10270

DATE

17 Oct 76

CLASS.
none

Int. Suspense

22 Oct

Internal

Suspense

~~Yes~~

2

SUB: DDCI Note: "In a discussion I had with Bill Miller of the SSCI last week, Bill said he believes the Committee will prove to be extremely interested in seconding ~~XXXXXX~~ Attorney Generals's notion that intelligence agencies learn to be comfortable with the ~~xxxx~~ court and title 3 warrants in pursuing Security and CI work..."
18 Oct 76: Orig OGC fm DDCI. (ES saw in OIB.) Cy ER via RB. 22 Oct 76 Internal Suspense *per*

1

let me
see this
one

S

M.E.

Apparently an oversight in ER that this failed to be flagged on 22 Oct.
Sorry!

for
11/23